	Case 1:23-cv-00431-JLT-SAB Document 1	.1 Filed 08/15/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PERRY KENJI WASHINGTON,	Case No. 1:23-cv-00431-JLT-SAB
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	v.	(Docs. 1, 9)
14	CURTIS DILLARD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18		
19	Plaintiff Perry Kenii Washington ("Plain	tiff") proceeding are so and in forma naunoris
20 21	Plaintiff Perry Kenji Washington ("Plaintiff"), proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> , initiated this civil rights action against Defendants Curtis Dillard and Ebony on March 22, 2023.	
22	(Doc. 1.) The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
23	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and Eastern District of California Local Rule 302.	
24	On July 14, 2023, Plaintiff filed a motion to appoint counsel and a motion for a temporary	
25	restraining order. (Docs. 5, 6.) On July 18, 2023, the magistrate judge denied the motion to	
26	appoint counsel and issued findings and recommendations to deny the motion for temporary	
27	restraining order. (Doc. 9.) Plaintiff was granted twenty-one days in which to file objections to	
28	the findings and recommendations. The deadline to file objections has passed and no objections	
	1	
	1	ı

	Case 1:23-cv-00431-JLT-SAB Document 11 Filed 08/15/23 Page 2 of 2	
1	have been filed. ¹	
2	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and Britt v. Simi Valley	
3	United School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983), this Court conducted a de novo review of	
4	the case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court finds the Findings and	
5	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.	
6	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
7	1. The Findings and Recommendations dated July 18, 2023 (Doc. 9), are ADOPTED	
8	IN FULL.	
9	2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order (Doc. 6) is DENIED	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: August 14, 2023 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	Although it appears from the file that Plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, Plaintiff	
28	was properly served. It is the Plaintiff's responsibility to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	

Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.